UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,581	03/18/2004	Kenneth L. Levy	P0956	7267
23735 DIGIMARC CO	7590 04/08/200 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		
9405 SW GEM	INI DRIVE		STRONCZER, RYAN S	
BEAVERTON, OR 97008			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/804,581	LEVY ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
BRIAN T. PENDLETON	2425	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 3 March 2009.
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper ai	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	lude reasons why a re	
The time period for filing a respor the mail date of the last Office co		om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from tice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because the rief in accordance with the from mailing this dec stice of appeal, whiche to CFR 1.136 based u	ferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant a 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ver is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the pon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from core		aim(s) is as follows:
		held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a		neld. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office pplicant at this time.
All participants:		
(1) <u>BRIAN T. PENDLETON</u> .		(3) <u>Christopher Grant</u> .
(2) <u>Ryan Stronczer</u> .		(4)
/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	/Christopher Grant/	

Unit 2425